FORM 32. Response to Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts

Form 32 March 2023

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

#### RESPONSE TO NOTICE TO ADVISE OF SCHEDULING CONFLICTS

FORM 32. Response to Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts

Form 32 March 2023

Potential Case Conflicts		
Are there other pending cases before this court (regardless of case status) in which expected arguing counsel in this case also expects to argue?		
✓ Yes □ No		
If yes, attach a separate sheet listing those cases.		
I certify the above information and any attached statement is complete and accurate. I further certify that I will update my notice should new conflicts arise or existing conflicts change.		
Date: 01/21/2025	Signature:	/s/ Michael R. Rueckheim
	Name:	Michael R. Rueckheim

> 2024-1312 Micron Technology, Inc. v. Netlist, Inc.

## Attachment to Response to Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts Scheduling Conflicts

- 1. I, Michael Rueckheim, am arguing counsel for Micron Technology, Inc., Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc. and Micron Technology Texas, LLC. I identify the following scheduling conflicts: May 6-8, 2025 and July 7-11, 2025.
- 2. On May 6-8, I will be attending and presenting at a conference in Palo Alto California. On July 7-11, I anticipate attending the *Netlist, Inc. v Micron Technology, Inc. et al* trial in Eastern District of Texas Case No. 2-23-cv-628 as counsel for Micron.
- 3. For these reasons, I respectfully request that the Court not schedule oral argument in this matter for May 6-8, 2025 and July 7-11, 2025.

Dated: January 21, 2025 /s/ Michael R. Rueckheim Michael R. Rueckheim

#### 2024-1312

### Micron Technology, Inc. v. Netlist, Inc. <u>Attachment to Response to Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts</u>

#### **Potential Case Conflicts**

• 24-2336 - Lemko Corp. v. Microsoft Corporation